1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	OMARI TAHIR,	CASE NO. C15-1819-MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER REVOKING IN FORMA
12	v.	PAUPERIS STATUS
13	RONALD ENGLISH,	
14	Defendant.	
	Defendant.	
15		J
1516		Plaintiff's action without prejudice for his
		- 7
16	On August 12, 2016, this Court dismissed	pate in the preparation of a Joint Status Report.
16 17	On August 12, 2016, this Court dismissed failure to comply with the Court's order to participate to court or court of the court's order to participate to court or court of the cour	pate in the preparation of a Joint Status Report. I and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has
16 17 18	On August 12, 2016, this Court dismissed failure to comply with the Court's order to participle. Dkt. No. 30. Plaintiff has appealed that dismissa	pate in the preparation of a Joint Status Report. I and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has the purpose of determining whether <i>in forma</i>
16 17 18 19	On August 12, 2016, this Court dismissed failure to comply with the Court's order to participle. Dkt. No. 30. Plaintiff has appealed that dismissal referred the matter back to the District Court for the court for	pate in the preparation of a Joint Status Report. I and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has the purpose of determining whether <i>in forma</i>
16 17 18 19 20	On August 12, 2016, this Court dismissed failure to comply with the Court's order to participal. Dkt. No. 30. Plaintiff has appealed that dismissal referred the matter back to the District Court for the pauperis status should continue for this appeal or faith. Dkt. No. 35.	pate in the preparation of a Joint Status Report. I and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has the purpose of determining whether <i>in forma</i>
16 17 18 19 20 21	On August 12, 2016, this Court dismissed failure to comply with the Court's order to participal. Dkt. No. 30. Plaintiff has appealed that dismissal referred the matter back to the District Court for the pauperis status should continue for this appeal or faith. Dkt. No. 35.	pate in the preparation of a Joint Status Report. I and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has the purpose of determining whether <i>in forma</i> whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad Is appeal of the dismissal is frivolous. Plaintiff

preparation of a Joint Status Report. Further assistance is available through pro se materials 2 provided by the Clerk's Office and accessible through the District Court Law Library (a public facility). It is true that the Court adopted the Pro Bono Screening Committee's recommendation 3 not to appoint counsel for Plaintiff in this matter, but, again, dozens if not hundreds of pro se 5 parties prosecute their own civil litigation in this District each year. Furthermore, the dismissal was without prejudice, leaving Plaintiff free (within the 6 7 confines of the statute of limitations) to file his action again when he felt he was more prepared to do so. An appeal of the Court's order is unnecessary and the Court can conceive of no 8 grounds on which it would have merit. Accordingly, 9 IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is REVOKED for purposes of 10 this appeal. 11 12 13 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, 14 Plaintiff, and all counsel. 15 Dated this 16th day of September, 2016. 16 17 Marshy Helens 18 Marsha J. Pechman United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24